

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,366	10/25/2000	Randal N. Linden	1005/203	3221	
530 7	7590 05/23/2003				
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			EXAMINER		
			PHAN, THAI Q		
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	ر	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/696,366

Applicant(s)

Randal Linden

Examiner

Thai Phan

Art Unit 2123



A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of the may be available under the provision of 37 ER I.13 (al., in no event, however, may a noply be timely fleed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If the period for expl specified doors us less then thirty (30) days, a noply within the steadney minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of the communication of the period for explaints of the period for explaints (1) and the period f								
If the pieced for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time). If NO pried for reply is specified down, the maximum statutory proted will explicit set (in MONTH9 form the malling date of the communication. Falur to reply within the set of extended perced for reply will, by statists, cause the application to become ABANDORED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office less than these morths after the malling date of the communication, even if timely filed, many reduce any several perceived by the Office less than the malling date of the communication, even if timely filed, many reduce any several perceived by the Office and the malling date of the communication, even if timely filed, many reduce any several perceived by the Status. In Status In Status This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3c) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Along Claim(s) 1-4 Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Si/are withdrawn from consideration. Si/are withdrawn from consideration. Si/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 Is/are rejected. Is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 Is/are rejected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). The proposed drawing correction filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 25, 2000 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-4	- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the state of th	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to becom	MONTHS fr ne ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4i) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4	Status							
3	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 25, 26	000					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4	2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	ion is non-final.					
All	3) 🗆							
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	Disposi	tion of Claims						
Size allowed. Size allowed. Size allowed. Size pected. Size pected to. Size pected to. Size pected to. Size pected to pected to pected to pected to pected	4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
is/are rejected. is/are objected to. is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on	4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	,		
Claim(s)	5) 💢	Claim(s) 4			is/are allowed.			
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>			is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on	7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement			
The drawing(s) filed on	Applica	tion Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	d or b)[\Box objected to by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examin	ıer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Petent Drewing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ▼ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d in App	lication No			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	_						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	priority under	ა ე ∪.ე.(C. 33 IZV and/or IZ!.			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_		4) Interview Sur	nmarv (PT)	0-413) Paper No(s).			

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is response to patent application S/N: 09/696,366, filed on 10/25/2000. Claims 1-4 are pending in this Office Action.

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim recites a variance in execution speed between the target system and the host system is unclear for what it refers to. Does variance herein refer to performance difference between host and target system, emulation of the speed of target system by using host system in execution of a target program, or others. Clarification is required.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blasciak, Andrew, US patent no. 5,103,394.

As per claim 1, Blasciak discloses method and system for emulating execution of program instruction codes designed for a target system in a host with feature limitations substantially similar to the claimed invention (Abstract and Summary of the Invention).

According to Blasciak, the emulation method includes steps of

measuring execution speed of the target system and host system in execution of target program (col. 4, lines 3-57, col. 5, lines 20-35, Figs. 12, 13, col. 10, lines 10-66, col. 17, lines 1-18)

measuring execution speed difference between the host processor and the target processor (Fig. 12, col. 10, lines 25-40, col. 11, line 52 to col. 12, line 54, cols. 13-18),

and configuring or reconfiguring the host system in order to conform to the execution speed of the target system in execution of the target program (cols. 12-18). Blasciak does not expressly disclose dynamically adjusting the execution speed of the host system to conform to target system as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,366

Art Unit: 2123

Practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made would have found Blasciak configuration or reconfiguring the host system performance parameters as in col. 10, lines 25-40, col. 11, line 52 to col. 12, line 54, cols. 13, 14, for example, could obviously imply dynamically adjusting speed of the host system because the change in host configuration parameters dynamically adjusts speed of the host as claimed to conform with the target system in execution of the target or measurement program.

As per claim 2, Blasciak discloses identifying a block of instructions and associated processing time required by the target system (col. 5, lines 20-35, line 55 to col. 6, line 31, for example), determining real time required to execute by the target system (col. 6, lines 34-44, for example), and the time difference make to conform with the target system as claimed.

As per claim 3, Blasciak discloses measurement of speed of execution for program instruction blocks as claimed. Blasciak does not disclose adjust speed of execution based on variance determined for a preceding block as claimed.

It is obvious for practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to have found Blasciak configuration or reconfiguring the host system performance parameters as in col. 10, lines 25-40, col. 11, line 52 to col. 12, line 54, cols. 13, 14, for example, could obviously imply dynamically adjusting speed of the host system because the change in host configuration parameters dynamically adjusts speed of the host as claimed to conform with the target system in execution of the target or measurement program for a plurality of program instruction blocks, including a preceding block of instructions.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,366

Art Unit: 2123

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

6. Claim 4 is allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of

allowable subject matter:

Claim 4 requires the distinct features of by selecting a reference determined by an

arbitrary time quantum of the execution speed, tracking the instruction cycles executed,

determining an elapsed time period by querying a timing source, and determining a timing

reference by comparing the elapsed time with the time quantum. The prior art of record does not

show such distinct features as claimed to simulate the operating speed of the target system.

Claim 4 is deemed allowable.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

1. US patent no. 5,666,519, issued to Hayden, Peter, on Sept. 1997

2. US patent no. 5,737,579, issued to Kimura et al., on Apr. 1998

3. US patent no. 5,815,688, issued to Averill, Gregory, on Sept. 1998

4. US patent no. 5,761,477, issued to Wahbe et al., on June 1999

Application/Control Number: 09/696,366 Page 6

Art Unit: 2123

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is (703) 305-3812.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

May 19, 2003

Marphan Patent Examiner

AU 2123